arXiv:1110.2843v2 [hep-th] 10 Jan 2012

Warped spherical compactifications in the gravity theory

MASATO MINAMITSUJI[1] and KUNIHITO UZAWA[2]

[1] *Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan.*
[2] *Department of Physics, Kinki University, Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502, Japan.*

PACS `04.50.-h` – Higher-dimensional gravity and other theories of gravity

Abstract – We present several exact solutions of the warped spherical compactifications in the higher-dimensional gravitational theory coupled to scalar and several kinds of gauge fields. We then investigate the realizability of the de Sitter spacetime. Having these solutions, we illustrate how an external de Sitter spacetime can be obtained only if there is the 0-form field strength.

Introduction. – The warped de Sitter compactifications involve de Sitter spacetime with warped structure in the higher-dimensional gravitational theory. A motivation for studying the de Sitter compactifications is to understand aspects of the inflationary universe and the current acceleration of the universe [1–8] (for recent review see [9]). Most works which have been devoted to de Sitter compactifications with finite volume of the internal space have been made in the context of the four-dimensional effective theory. However, such solutions with the spherical internal space have not been extensively explored yet in terms of finding the exact solutions in the higher-dimensional gravitational theory.

We will explain how to construct this sort of solutions with the warped compactifications explicitly. A reason for studying compactifications with warped structure is that a lot of the simplest supergravity solutions, such as ten- or eleven-dimensional models constructed in [10, 11], can be interpreted as warped compactifications. In fact, the geometry near the branes can become highly warped. The possibilities for making realistic string theory models have been investigated in compactifications of the conifold with flux [12, 13]. The warped compactification can also be used in several phenomenological contexts such as for explaining large mass hierarchies [14], for cosmology [15, 16] and also for arranging for SUSY breaking because the exponentially small scale of supersymmetry breaking can be explained by warped structure of the metric [17].

The spherical compactification has been recently used to describe a de Sitter solution for gravity theory [18]. The basic idea was to exploit the way in which an S^1 arises as part of an internal space. Along this way, our intent is primarily to study compactifications with warped structure in the more elementary case of compactifications on $\mathrm{S}^1 \times \prod_I \mathrm{S}^{L_I}$. In fact, the model we will focus on has been studied, from a rather different vantage point in [19–21]. A number of important features were pointed out in [18], including not only gravity but also matter fields and the finite volume of internal space. The internal space of sphere backgrounds stands a much better chance of admitting tractable descriptions for the effective theory than any other non-compact internal space. Indeed, there is unlikely to be any four-dimensional description of a de Sitter compactification with non-compact internal space because the effective action cannot be obtained from the dimensional reduction. In compactifications with infinite volume of the internal space, the modulus is not finite so that the four-dimensional effective theory cannot be used to access small volume of the extra dimensions. Such a model has also been investigated recently in [22, 23], which has overlap with our present work but has still become interesting in light of other type of compactifications.

Warped de Sitter solutions. – We consider a gravitational theory with the metric g_{MN}, the scalar field ϕ and the antisymmetric tensor field of rank p_I. The action which we consider is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int \left[R * \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{2}d\phi\wedge *d\phi \right.\\ &\qquad \left. -\sum_I \frac{1}{2\cdot p_I!}\mathrm{e}^{c_I\phi}F_{(p_I)}\wedge *F_{(p_I)}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where κ^2 is the D-dimensional gravitational constant, and $*$ is the Hodge operator in D-dimensional spacetime, $F_{(p_I)}$ is the p_I-form field strength, and c_I is constant.

The D-dimensional action Eq. (1) gives the field equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{MN} &= \frac{1}{2}\partial_M\phi\partial_N\phi \\
&\quad+\sum_I \frac{\mathrm{e}^{c_I\phi}}{2\cdot p_I!}\left[p_I\left(F_{(p_I)}\right)^2_{MN}-\frac{p_I-1}{D-2}g_{MN}F^2_{(p_I)}\right], \quad (2)\\
d&\left[\mathrm{e}^{c_I\phi}\ast F_{(p_I)}\right]=0\,, \quad (3)\\
d&\ast d\phi-\sum_I\frac{c_I}{2\cdot p_I!}\mathrm{e}^{c_I\phi}F_{(p_I)}\wedge\ast F_{(p_I)}=0\,, \quad (4)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(F_{(p_I)}\right)^2_{MN}=F_{MA_1\cdots A_{p_I-1}}F_N{}^{A_1\cdots A_{p_I-1}}$. In this paper, the derivation of the solutions completely follows the method developed in the previous works devoted to finding the solutions of the warped de Sitter compactifications [18, 21–23]. To solve the field equations, we assume that the D-dimensional metric takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= \mathrm{e}^{2A(y)}\left[q_{\mu\nu}(\mathrm{X})dx^\mu dx^\nu+dy^2\right.\\
&\qquad\left.+\sum_I u_{a_Ib_I}(\mathrm{Z}_I)dz^{a_I}dz^{b_I}\right], \quad (5)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q_{\mu\nu}(\mathrm{X})$ is the n-dimensional metric which depends only on the n-dimensional coordinates x^μ, and $u_{a_Ib_I}(\mathrm{Z}_I)$ is the L_I-dimensional metric which depends only on the L_I-dimensional coordinates z^{a_I}, the function $A(y)$ depends only on the coordinates y, respectively. Note that the number of dimensions are related as $D=n+\sum_I L_I+1$. We assume that Z_I is a smooth and compact internal manifold.

The scalar field ϕ and the gauge field strengths are assumed to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi &= -\frac{2}{c_0}A\,, \quad (6)\\
F_{(n)} &= f_n\,\mathrm{e}^{\alpha_nA(y)}\Omega(\mathrm{X})\,, \quad (7)\\
F_{(L_I)} &= \ell_I\,\mathrm{e}^{\alpha_IA(y)}\omega_I\,, \quad (8)\\
F_{(0)} &= m\,, \quad (9)
\end{aligned}
$$

where f_n, ℓ_I, α_n, α_I and m are constants, and c_0 is the coupling constant for $F_{(0)}$, and $\Omega(\mathrm{X})$, ω_I denote the volume n-form, L_I-form of the Z_I, respectively. Note that we should not choose $m=0$ because of the ansatz of the scalar field. Thus, firstly we focus on the case of $m\neq0$. We will come back to the case of $m=0$ later. The choice of the ansatz for metric and matter fields used to solve field equations is essential, and a particular coupling constant is preferred in order to make both the equations of motion and the form fields for the internal spaces including 0-form simple.

For $m\neq0$, we assume that the parameters α_n and α_I are given by

$$
c_n=(\alpha_n-n+1)\,c_0\,,\qquad c_I=(\alpha_I-L_I+1)\,c_0\,. \quad (10)
$$

where c_n and c_I are the coupling constants for $F_{(n)}$ and $F_{(I)}$, respectively. Using the assumptions (5) and (6)-(9), the Einstein equations are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&R_{\mu\nu}(\mathrm{X})-\frac{1}{2}\left(2U-f_n^2+\frac{K+m^2}{D-2}\right)q_{\mu\nu}(\mathrm{X})=0, \quad (11)\\
&-(D-2)\partial_y^2A+\left(D-2-\frac{2}{c_0^2}\right)(\partial_yA)^2\\
&\qquad-\frac{1}{2}\left(2U+\frac{K+m^2}{D-2}\right)=0, \quad (12)\\
&R_{a_Ib_I}(\mathrm{Z}_I)-\frac{1}{2}\left(2U+\ell_I^2+\frac{K+m^2}{D-2}\right)u_{a_Ib_I}(\mathrm{Z}_I)=0, \quad (13)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{\mu\nu}(\mathrm{X})$ and $R_{a_Ib_I}(\mathrm{Z}_I)$ are the Ricci tensors of the metrics $q_{\mu\nu}(\mathrm{X})$ and $u_{a_Ib_I}(\mathrm{Z}_I)$, respectively, and U, K are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
U &= \partial_y^2A+(D-2)(\partial_yA)^2\,, \quad (14)\\
K &= (n-1)f_n^2-\sum_I(L_I-1)f_I^2\,. \quad (15)
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we consider the gauge field. Under the assumptions Eq. (7) and Eq. (8), the Bianchi identities and the equations of motion for the gauge fields are automatically satisfied. Substituting Eqs. (5) and (6)-(9) into Eq. (4), the scalar field equation gives

$$
U+\frac{c_0^2}{4}\left(-\alpha_nf_n^2+\sum_I\alpha_If_I^2+K+m^2\right)=0\,. \quad (16)
$$

From the Eqs. (12) and (16), we get

$$
A=A_0+\frac{1}{D-2}\ln\left[\cos\left\{\sqrt{\frac{K+m^2}{2(D-1)}}\,(y-y_0)\right\}\right], \quad (17)
$$

$$
c_0^2=\frac{2}{(D-1)(D-2)}\,,\quad \alpha_n=0\,,\quad \alpha_I=0\,, \quad (18)
$$

where A_0 is constant. In terms of Eqs. (17)-(18), the field equations reduce to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&R_{\mu\nu}(\mathrm{X})-\frac{1}{2}\left(-f_n^2+\frac{K+m^2}{D-1}\right)q_{\mu\nu}(\mathrm{X})=0, \quad (19)\\
&R_{a_Ib_I}(\mathrm{Z}_I)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\ell_I^2+\frac{K+m^2}{D-1}\right)u_{a_Ib_I}(\mathrm{Z}_I)=0\,. \quad (20)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the metric of D-dimensional spacetime can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= \bar{A}^2\left[\cos\left(\bar{y}-\bar{y}_0\right)\right]^{2/(D-2)}\left[q_{\mu\nu}(\mathrm{X})dx^\mu dx^\nu\right.\\
&\qquad\left.+\frac{2(D-1)}{K+m^2}d\bar{y}^2+\sum_I u_{a_Ib_I}(\mathrm{Z}_I)dz^{a_I}dz^{b_I}\right], \quad (21)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{A}=\mathrm{e}^{A_0}$ is constant, and $\bar{y}$, $\bar{y}_0$ are defined by

$$
\bar{y}=\sqrt{\frac{K+m^2}{2(D-1)}}\,y,\quad \bar{y}_0=\sqrt{\frac{K+m^2}{2(D-1)}}y_0\,. \quad (22)
$$

If f_n, ℓ_I and m satisfy the relation

$$-f_n^2+\frac{K+m^2}{D-1}>0\,, \tag{23}$$

the solution leads to an n-dimensional de Sitter spacetime whose expansion rate H is given by

$$H^2=\frac{1}{2(n-1)}\left(-f_n^2+\frac{K+m^2}{D-1}\right). \tag{24}$$

Then it can be shown that when the n-dimensional spacetime is de Sitter, all the internal spaces Z_I must be positively curved, because from Eq. (20) with (23) we obtain

$$R(\mathrm{Z}_I)=\frac{L_I}{2}\left(\ell_I^2+\frac{K+m^2}{D-1}\right)>\frac{L_I}{2}\left(\ell_I^2+f_n^2\right)>0. \tag{25}$$

From Eq. (20) the Ith internal space can be sphere S^I, if

$$\ell_I^2+\frac{K+m^2}{D-1}>0. \tag{26}$$

The D-dimensional metric Eq. (21) implies that there are curvature singularities at $\bar{y}=\bar{y}_0+\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\pi$ (n is integer). Thus it is impossible to extend the spacetime across such a point and we should restrict $\bar{y}$ to be for a period, e.g., $\bar{y}_0-\pi/2<\bar{y}<\bar{y}_0+\pi/2$.

Now we mention the relation of our solutions with supergravity theories. The coupling constants of the scalar field in the supergravity theory are severely restricted. Actually, its coupling constants have a particular value, which are written as [24, 25]

$$\begin{aligned} c_n^2 &= N_n-\frac{2(n-1)(D-n-1)}{D-2}\,,\\ c_I^2 &= N_I-\frac{2(L_I-1)(D-L_I-1)}{D-2}\,,\\ c_0^2 &= N_0+\frac{2(D-1)}{D-2}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where N_n, N_I and N_0 are constants. In terms of Eqs.(10) and (18), these constants become

$$\begin{aligned} N_n &= \frac{2(n-1)(D-n)}{D-1}\,,\\ N_I &= \frac{2(L_I-1)(D-L_I)}{D-1}\,,\\ N_0 &= -\frac{2D}{D-1}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Though the cases of $N_i=4$, $(i=n,I,0)$ in the ten- or eleven-dimensional theory correspond to supergravities, we cannot choose it due to $N_0<0$. These supergravity solutions always give the X space to be an AdS spacetime. In our limited ansatz of fields, it is difficult to obtain the four-dimensional de Sitter spacetime in the supergravity models.

We then discuss the case of $m=0$. We assume that the D-dimensional metric takes the same form as Eq. (5). The scalar field ϕ and the gauge field strengths are assumed to be

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= -\frac{2}{c_n}(\alpha_n-n+1)A\,, &(29)\\ F_{(n)} &= f_n\,\mathrm{e}^{\alpha_n A(y)}\Omega(\mathrm{X})\,, &(30)\\ F_{(L_I)} &= \ell_I\,\mathrm{e}^{\alpha_I A(y)}\omega_I\,, &(31) \end{aligned}$$

where f_n and ℓ_I are constants, and $\Omega(\mathrm{X})$, ω_I denotes the volume n-form, L_I-form of the Z_I, respectively. In the following, we assume that the parameters c_n, c_I, α_n and α_I are related by

$$c_I=\left(\alpha_n-n+1\right)^{-1}\left(\alpha_I-L_I+1\right)c_n\,. \tag{32}$$

We then set $\alpha_n=\alpha_I=0$. Upon setting the assumptions Eq. (5) and (29)-(32), the Bianchi identities and the equations of motion for the gauge fields are automatically satisfied. In terms of the assumptions (5) and the field equations reduce to Eqs. (19)-(21) with $m=0$. The Eq. (19) with $m=0$ illustrates that de Sitter compactification is not allowed (see [26] for the general cases), while for $m\neq 0$ the X space can be an Einstein space with a positive curvature where the existence of de Sitter solutions is clear. The Ith internal space Z_I can be sphere S^I, if Eq. (26) with $m=0$ is satisfied.

In the case of $m=0$, there are solutions of supergravity in ten dimensions. From (27) and (28), the solution of $(D,n,L_1,L_2)=(10,4,1,4)$ with $m=0$ and $\ell_1=0$ (here $I=1,2$) gives that of ten-dimensional type IIA supergravity. Similarly, the solution of $(D,n,L_1)=(10,2,7)$ with $f_2=0$ and $m=0$ (here $I=1$) gives that of ten-dimensional type IIB supergravity. However, we observe that these solutions only lead to AdS compactifications.

Summary. – In this work, we have presented exact solutions of the warped compactification on $\mathrm{S}^1\times\prod_I\mathrm{S}^{L_I}$, as in our recent study on the warped compactification on $\mathrm{S}^1\times\mathrm{S}^{D-n-1}$ [18]. They gave us a family of new de Sitter solutions. We have illustrated how the matter fields, in particular the 0-form field strength, provide a de Sitter compactification to the higher-dimensional theory. The Einstein equations have led to a de Sitter spacetime in the presence of the 0-form field strength. If there is no 0-form, the Einstein equations require AdS compactifiactions. Though our model allows nontrivial warped compactifications, the geometry cannot become highly warped, since the warp factor is given in terms of a milder periodic function defined over S^1. Thus it would not be enough to give large hierarchies.

* * *

The work of M.M. was supported by the Yukawa fellowship. K.U. is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) of JSPS Research, under Contract No. 20740147.

REFERENCES

[1] A. G. Riess *et al.* [Supernova Search Team Collaboration], "Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant," Astron. J. **116** (1998) 1009 [arXiv:astro-ph/9805201].

[2] S. Perlmutter *et al.* [Supernova Cosmology Project Collaboration], "Measurements of Omega and Lambda from 42 high redshift supernovae," Astrophys. J. **517** (1999) 565 [arXiv:astro-ph/9812133].

[3] A. G. Riess *et al.* [Supernova Search Team Collaboration], "The farthest known supernova: support for an accelerating universe and a glimpse of the epoch of deceleration," Astrophys. J. **560** (2001) 49 [arXiv:astro-ph/0104455].

[4] A. G. Riess *et al.*, "New Hubble Space Telescope Discoveries of Type Ia Supernovae at $z \geq 1$: Narrowing Constraints on the Early Behavior of Dark Energy," Astrophys. J. **659** (2007) 98 [arXiv:astro-ph/0611572].

[5] M. Kowalski *et al.* [Supernova Cosmology Project Collaboration], "Improved Cosmological Constraints from New, Old and Combined Supernova Datasets," Astrophys. J. **686** (2008) 749 [arXiv:0804.4142 [astro-ph]].

[6] M. Hicken *et al.*, "Improved Dark Energy Constraints from 100 New CfA Supernova Type Ia Light Curves," Astrophys. J. **700** (2009) 1097 [arXiv:0901.4804 [astro-ph.CO]].

[7] R. Amanullah *et al.*, "Spectra and Light Curves of Six Type Ia Supernovae at $0.511 < z < 1.12$ and the Union2 Compilation," Astrophys. J. **716** (2010) 712 [arXiv:1004.1711 [astro-ph.CO]].

[8] N. Suzuki *et al.*, "The Hubble Space Telescope Cluster Supernova Survey: V. Improving the Dark Energy Constraints Above $z > 1$ and Building an Early-Type-Hosted Supernova Sample," arXiv:1105.3470 [astro-ph.CO].

[9] S. Tsujikawa, "Dark energy: investigation and modeling," arXiv:1004.1493 [astro-ph.CO].

[10] M. Grana, "Flux compactifications in string theory: A Comprehensive review," Phys. Rept. **423** (2006) 91 [arXiv:hep-th/0509003].

[11] M. R. Douglas and S. Kachru, "Flux compactification," Rev. Mod. Phys. **79** (2007) 733 [arXiv:hep-th/0610102].

[12] I. R. Klebanov and M. J. Strassler, "Supergravity and a confining gauge theory: Duality cascades and χSB-resolution of naked singularities," JHEP **0008** (2000) 052 [arXiv:hep-th/0007191].

[13] S. B. Giddings, S. Kachru and J. Polchinski, "Hierarchies from fluxes in string compactifications," Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 106006 [arXiv:hep-th/0105097].

[14] L. Randall, R. Sundrum, "A Large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension," Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370-3373 (1999). [hep-ph/9905221].

[15] L. Randall, R. Sundrum, "An Alternative to compactification," Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4690-4693 (1999). [hep-th/9906064].

[16] P. Kraus, "Dynamics of anti-de Sitter domain walls," JHEP **9912**, 011 (1999). [hep-th/9910149].

[17] R. Kitano and Y. Nomura, "A Solution to the supersymmetric fine-tuning problem within the MSSM," Phys. Lett. B **631** (2005) 58 [arXiv:hep-ph/0509039].

[18] M. Minamitsuji and K. Uzawa, "Warped de Sitter compactifications and modulus stabilization," arXiv:1109.4818 [hep-th].

[19] I. P. Neupane, "Simple cosmological de Sitter solutions on $\mathrm{dS}_4 \times \mathrm{Y}_6$ spaces," Class. Quant. Grav. **27** (2010) 045011 [arXiv:0901.2568 [hep-th]].

[20] I. P. Neupane, "Warped compactification on curved manifolds," Class. Quant. Grav. **28** (2011) 125015 [arXiv:1006.4495 [hep-th]].

[21] I. P. Neupane, "Warped compactification to de Sitter space," Nucl. Phys. B **847** (2011) 549 [arXiv:1011.5007 [hep-th]].

[22] M. Minamitsuji and K. Uzawa, "Spectrum from the warped compactifications with the de Sitter universe," arXiv:1103.5325 [hep-th].

[23] M. Minamitsuji and K. Uzawa, "Warped de Sitter compactifications," arXiv:1103.5326 [hep-th].

[24] M. J. Duff, R. R. Khuri and J. X. Lu, "String solitons," Phys. Rept. **259** (1995) 213 [arXiv:hep-th/9412184].

[25] M. Minamitsuji and K. Uzawa, "Cosmology in p-brane systems," Phys. Rev. D **83** (2010) 086002 [arXiv:1011.2376 [hep-th]].

[26] J. M. Maldacena and C. Nunez, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **16**, 822 (2001) [hep-th/0007018].